Gradient-based Fingerprinting for Indoor Localization and Tracking

Yuanchao Shu, Member, IEEE, Yinghua Huang, Jiaqi Zhang, Philippe Coué, Peng Cheng, Member, IEEE, Jiming Chen, Senior Member, IEEE, and Kang G. Shin, Life Fellow, IEEE

Abstract-Of the different branches of indoor localization research, WiFi fingerprinting has drawn significant attention over the past decade. These localization systems function by comparing WiFi Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) and a pre-established location-specific fingerprint map. However, due to the time-variant wireless signal strength, the RSSI fingerprint map needs to be calibrated periodically, incurring high labor and time costs. In addition, biased RSSI measurements across devices along with transmission power control techniques of WiFi routers further undermine the fidelity of existing fingerprintbased localization systems. To remedy these problems, we propose GIFT which leverages a more stable RSSI gradient. GIFT first builds a gradient-based fingerprint map (Gmap) by comparing absolute RSSI values at nearby positions, and then runs an online extended particle filter to localize the user/device. By incorporating Gmap, GIFT is more adaptive to the time-variant RSSI in indoor environments, thus effectively reducing the overhead of fingerprint map calibration. We implemented GIFT on Android smartphones and tablets, and conducted extensive experiments in a five-story campus building. GIFT is shown to achieve an 80 percentile accuracy of 5.6m with dynamic WiFi signals.

Index Terms-Indoor localization, fingerprinting, WiFi

I. INTRODUCTION

Emergence of location-based services and applications has led to a growing demand of *anywhere* localization [2], [18], [29], [35], [42]. Although space-based satellite navigation systems such as GPS offer high localization accuracy outdoor, the poor connectivity between satellites and end-devices makes them unavailable indoor, triggering research on indoor localization.

Numerous approaches in indoor localization have been proposed using Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU) [21], ultrasonic [6], [18], radio-frequency ID tags [29], [44], [45], FM [47], magnetic field [7], [20], WiFi [27] and etc. Among

Copyright (c) 2015 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Yuanchao Shu is with Microsoft Research Asia. (Email: yushu@microsoft.com)

Yinghua Huang is with Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. (Email:huangyinghua@huawei.com).

Jiaqi Zhang, Peng Cheng and Jiming Chen are with the State Key Lab. of Industrial Control Technology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. (Email: jiaqizhang@zju.edu.cn, {pcheng, jmchen}@iipc.zju.edu.cn).

Philippe Coué is a data intelligence consultant working in Paris, France. (Email: king.pcoue@gmail.com)

Kang G. Shin is with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Michigan – Ann Arbor, USA. (Email: kgshin@umich.edu). different branches of techniques, fingerprinting approaches based on WiFi Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) have attracted the most attention over the last decade. In such systems, an RSSI signature database (a.k.a. fingerprint map) needs to be constructed first in the training phase. User location is then obtained according to the results of comparing RSSI observations and the position-specific fingerprint map. WiFi fingerprinting systems are known to be accurate and free of additional infrastructures and specific hardware [47]. However, they suffer from several shortcomings, of which the WiFi signal variation is a major hurdle.

WiFi signal strength is subjected to indoor environmental changes. In addition to these probabilistic temporal variations, recent technologies implemented in off-the-shelf Access Points (APs) also cause difficulties in large-scale deployments of existing RSSI-based indoor localization systems. For example, in the AP-220 series APs, Aruba Networks implements Adaptive Radio Management (ARM) which could monitor the ambient radio frequency (RF) environment and automatically adjust transmit power to optimize the overall network performance [15]. Similar technologies could also be found in the equipments of other networking vendors such as Netgear [17] and Cisco [16]. Device diversity [11], [26] is another issue in WiFi-based fingerprinting systems where signal strength variations are observed among different devices. Due to unpredictable environmental changes, and limited *a priori* knowledge on both the sensing device and the power adjustment strategy, the RSSI fingerprint map needs to be periodically calibrated, incurring high costs on both labor and time.

Recent technological advances improved the efficiency of WiFi fingerprint map construction [27], [30], [39], [46]. For example, crowdsourcing is used to reduce the overhead of site profiling by distributing the workload among multiple mobile users [27], [46]. Floor maps and indoor landmarks have also been exploited for fast and unsupervised site profiling [30], [39]. Although these efforts improved fingerprint map construction, they still cannot deal with uneven fingerprint density and device heterogeneity, and therefore cannot adapt to the variations in AP transmission power, let alone reducing the frequency of the map construction to eliminate time-consuming and labor-intensive database maintenance.

To deal with these problems, we propose a *GradIent Fin*gerprinTing (GIFT) indoor localization and tracking system. The basic rationale behind GIFT comes from the observation that differential RSSI between nearby positions is more stable than the absolute RSSI values, and more importantly, is

Manuscript received January 10, 2015. Revised April 20, 2015. Accepted for publication June 25, 2015

independent of the AP's transmission power and the sensing device. For example, in an ideal RF environment, as the user is walking towards an AP, he will obtain increasing RSSI measurements with high probability, regardless of the AP's absolute transmission power and model of the sensing device.

Unlike several existing calibration approaches, no assumptions on the RSSI variation model caused by environmental changes and device diversity (e.g., linear relationship [13], [19]) are made in this paper. Instead, we extract the *binary RSSI gradients* from the RSSI fingerprint map, and establish the gradient fingerprint database, Gmap, leveraging statistical hypothesis testing methods. This way, GIFT is able to deal with both the time-varying effects and diverse RSSI measurements from heterogeneous devices, thus dramatically reducing the overhead of periodical maintenance of the fingerprint map. Besides Gmap, we design an extended particle filter-based GIFT engine which simultaneously detects user movements and tracks the user's position.

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

- Based on the observation of the WiFi signal strength fluctuation, we proposed a novel indoor localization and tracking system using the RSSI gradient, i.e., GIFT. Unlike existing work which focuses on the design of either efficient fingerprint map building or calibration across heterogeneous clients, GIFT relies on simpler binary RSSI gradient values.
- We develop a novel method to construct the binary gradient fingerprint map on top of the WiFi RSSI fingerprint map using significance testing. It is backward compatible with existing, advanced fingerprint map construction approaches which could further reduce the training overhead.
- We design an extended particle filter-based GIFT engine with a motion detection module. It automatically locates and tracks the user and is adaptive to different mobility patterns.
- We have implemented GIFT, as well as two legacy WiFi fingerprinting methods on smartphones and tablets, and conducted extensive experiments in a five-story campus building. The experimental results demonstrate high localization accuracy and robustness of GIFT in complex indoor WiFi environments.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II summarizes the related work firstly and Section III motivates GIFT with preliminary observations on WiFi RSSI in indoor environments. Section IV presents an overview of GIFT design. Sections V and VI detail the gradient-based map construction and the GIFT engine, respectively. Section VII presents the experimental evaluation of our proposed approach. Section VIII concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

Indoor localization has been extensively studied over the past decade. Here we only focus on the systems related to GIFT.

WiFi-based fingerprinting has been discussed heavily in this area [1], [3], [36], [40], [48]. As a pioneering fingerprint-based indoor localization system, RADAR [1] first overhears

and records broadcast packets from WiFi APs to build a location-specific fingerprint map. Localization can be achieved by performing lookups within a pre-established database. On the basis of basic fingerprinting, Horus [48] adopts a probability-based inference model, where the RSSI from an AP is modeled into a random variable in both time and spatial domains. Fingerprinting leveraging FM [3], [47] signals and magnetic field [7], [20] are also well studied. Despite the low cost on infrastructures, fingerprint-based approaches suffer from periodical onerous fingerprint map calibration caused by environmental changes. Although crowdsourcing techniques [27], [30], [46] could be used to reduce the cost, they do not take frequent and automatic configuration changes of WLAN APs into consideration. In GIFT, by replacing the absolute value-based fingerprint map with a gradient-based map, we minimize the overhead on repetitive site profiling without performance degradation.

Another issue of fingerprinting is the time-varying signal strength and biased observations reported by heterogeneous devices [32]. The authors of [11] proposed an automatic radio map generation mechanism for device-free localization. It requires full knowledge of the AP (e.g., the position, the transmission power and the antenna radiation pattern) and the surrounding environment (e.g., RF propagation properties of common building materials) to handle transmission reflections and diffractions. In addition, the overhead of constructing the measured radio map is prohibitive when taking the human body effect into account in RF prorogation modeling. In [13], Haeberlen et al. suggest environmental changes could be compensated by a simple linear transformation between RSSI values from different devices. However, Park et al. [26] claim that a linear transformation is insufficient for cross-device localization. Instead, they propose to use kernel estimation with wide kernel widths at the cost of single device localization performance degradation. In [19], fingerprints are recorded as more stable signal strength ratios between pairs of base stations and Dong et al. [10] proposed to use the difference between signal strengths across access points as a localization feature. However, as each AP adjusts its transmission power independently, the signal strength ratio (or the difference) remains unstable and unpredictable. WiGEM [12] is a learning-based approach using the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and Expectation Maximization (EM) to estimate parameters of the WiFi propagation model on sniffers (or APs doubling as sniffers). It is robust to the device diversity and power level variability but requires knowledge of AP locations. Despite all of these techniques, GIFT leverages binary spatial RSSI gradients without any assumptions on signal prorogation models or signal strength transformations (e.g., linear) among devices, and is also complementary to the model-based fingerprint map construction approaches (e.g., WiGEM) for reducing the bootstrap effort.

Range-based approaches localize the user/device based on distance estimation, which can be achieved by measuring the received signal strength [5], [43], chirp-spread-spectrum [41], curve fitting [37] or Time-of-Arrival (ToA) [4], [24]. Signal propagation models are widely used in RSSI-based ranging approaches [5], [9], [23]. However, varied and complex

interior structures in different indoor environments prevent the usage of a universal radio attenuation model therefore make such kind of systems less practical. In ToA-based ranging, time delays in signal propagation is leveraged to estimate distances between wireless nodes. With transmitters at known locations, difference in time of arrival of multiple RF signals are also used by TPS [4]. Although these techniques offer high localization accuracy, they require complicated processing in either software or hardware to ensure tight time synchronization and precise ranging, limiting their applicability.

Dead Reckoning (DR) is also a well-studied localization topic for its infrastructure independency. These systems achieve positioning through data fusion from multiple inertial sensors, e.g., accelerometer, gyroscope and magnetometer. However, the unstable human locomotion during walking and accumulated errors in inertial sensing make DR hard to play well solely. Anomalies of indoor geomagnetic field, caused by local construction materials, also give rise to noisy direction sensing [7]. Complementary approaches that incorporate landmarks [39], map-constraints [27] and advanced human locomotion detection components [8], [21], [33], [42] were proposed recently to deal with problems in legacy DR systems. GIFT borrows these ideas and improves localization accuracy significantly.

III. MEASUREMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

WiFi is the de facto standard for wireless Internet connectivity, with its signals ubiquitously exist in indoor environments. Due to wireless propagation model and the unique interior construction, indoor WiFi signals have been found to exhibit certain characteristics, e.g., location-specific RSSI. Such characteristics have been leveraged to build fingerprint maps to localize user/device [1], [27], [48]. Before proposing our main design, we first present some measurement and observation results on indoor WiFi signals.

A. Temporal Variation of WiFi Signals

Observations on the temporal variation of received signal strength were reported in WiFi's early days. With fixed transmit power, broadcasting signals from a WiFi router fluctuates in a time-variant indoor environment, e.g., the presence and absence of humans, the fluctuating indoor temperature and humidity. In most localization literature which leverages the RSSI fingerprint map, these factors are treated as random variables and could be eliminated through modeling or basic averaging.

Recently, with the advent of the high-density WiFi deployment and extensive research on wireless communication, new techniques are adopted in WiFi routers to meet the need of pervasive and high-performance wireless access. For example in the new 802.11ac standard, beamforming is proposed to fortify RF connections of the AP/client link, and could also be used to optimize the RF spectrum and the overall network performance. One typical optimization method used in off-theshelf routers is to dynamically adjust the transmit power [15], [16] based on real-time wireless LAN conditions. Unlike the random impacts mentioned above, wireless signal strength variations caused by such modifications cannot be modeled easily due to the rapid and unpredictable changes of traffic load, the number of communication links and communication interference, etc.



Fig. 1. Temporal Variation of WiFi signals

We conducted experiments to verify the impact of the change of transmit power. Two smartphones 4m apart continuously scan and record WiFi RSSI for hours in an office room. There are no object movements around during the experiment. RSSI observations from the same AP are recorded and plotted in Figure 1(a), which shows the fluctuations of RSSI (with maximum change of 6 dBm) caused by adaptive transmit power adjustment. However, we find the RSSI gap between two smartphones remains positive and relatively stable. In Figure 1(b), 81% RSSI differences between observations from two devices are in the range between 9 dBm and 11 dBm.

B. Spatial-temporal Variation of WiFi Signals

We further examine the spatial-temporal variation of WiFi signals. In this experiment, one user carries a smartphone and walks along a pre-defined path in an office environment at different times of the day. The smartphone keeps recording the WiFi RSSI during user walking. We plot the results to examine the difference among various traces.



Fig. 2. Local disturbance of WiFi signals

Figure 2(a) presents a high-dimensional perspective on the spatial-temporal variation of WiFi signals. A clear shift exists between two RSSI curves in Figure 2(a). This is because the AP slightly increases its transmit power to cover a larger area in the morning when few connections have been established (Trace 1). In fact, the gap in between two curves reflects the temporal variation of RSSI at a fixed location (as presented in Section III-A).

Although absolute RSSI values vary in two traces, two trends are similar. In Figure 2(b), we use a sliding window to capture the trend of absolute RSSI values. Specifically, if the difference between RSSI values at two ends of the window is larger than a threshold δ (say 6 dBm), we generate an output of 1 (or -1 when the difference is smaller than $-\delta$). This way, the RSSI increase and decrease are represented by 1 and -1respectively in Figure 2(b), and a 0 is generated when there is no obvious change. In Figure 2(b), we find outputs of two traces are similar and more stable.

C. Human Body Effect and Device Diversity



Fig. 3. Human body effect and device diversity

Variations of RSSI are observed when the user is taking measurements while changing his/her orientation owing to the human body effect. In Figure 3(a), we measure the signal strength towards four directions (e.g., East, West, North and South) at a fixed location, and compute the RSSI deviations (i.e., the difference between the observed value and the mean). We repeat the experiment at 20 randomly selected locations in an office building. From Figure 3(a) we find a symmetrical distribution of deviations centered at zero and in 79% of cases, RSSI deviations are less than 5 dBm though it could be as large as 11. In GIFT, we use Gaussian estimation to model this small-scale variation and perform gradient map construction through a statistical significance testing.

Fingerprint-based indoor localization systems also suffer from signal variation due to device heterogeneity. This is clearly demonstrated in Figure 3(b), which shows the RSSI of one AP along exactly the same path using different smartphones. Compared with existing techniques for compensating for differences in RSSI values, GIFT leverages stable RSSI gradients without any signal strength transformations (e.g., linear) among devices.

As a brief summary, the time-varying signal strength makes it rather challenging to explore the WiFi signal directly, e.g., using absolute value-based fingerprinting techniques. The human body effect and device diversities further exaggerate the issue. Nevertheless, we find the spatial gradient signal strength has favorable properties to serve as a localization modality.

IV. GIFT OVERVIEW

In this section, we present an overview of GIFT. GIFT consists of two main modules: the map construction and the GIFT engine. Figure 4 shows the architecture of GIFT.

First, a gradient fingerprint map (Gmap) is constructed. Different from existing absolute value-based fingerprint map, Gmap contains WiFi gradients of the indoor environment



Fig. 4. GIFT architecture

and can be stored either on the cloud or pre-installed on the clients (e.g., smartphones) before running GIFT. *Gmap* is derived from a traditional fingerprint map through significance testing. This way, GIFT is compatible with various fingerprint map building approaches including site-survey [1], [48], crowdsourcing [27] and other advanced calibration methods with little human intervention [5], [46]. *Gmap* is used as input references by the GIFT engine which further compares user measurements and the pre-established WiFi gradient samples.

The GIFT engine continuously estimates locations of the user through a motion detection module and an extended particle filter (EPF). The motion detection module recognizes user steps and learns the stride length based on the observations from the accelerometer and the gyroscope on smartphones. In addition, the accelerometer and the gyroscope are used to correct the noisy compass readings in indoor environment, thus providing reliable walking directions. Motion detection results are then forwarded to the EPF along with the pre-established Gmap. The location of the user, which is represented by a set of particles, is finally estimated and updated. We will detail each of the techniques in subsequent sections, followed by large-scale system evaluation.

V. GRADIENT-BASED MAP CONSTRUCTION

In this section, we present the calibration procedure of GIFT that includes a novel *Gmap* construction method.

A. Fingerprint collection

We generate Gmap from an absolute value-based fingerprint map during the training phase of localization. Absolute valuebased fingerprint map is universally used in indoor localization systems [1], [20], [27], [46], [48] with site survey [1], [48] being the main technique in fingerprint map construction. In spite of its reliability from standstill data collection, site surveying is strangled by the high cost on manpower and time. Efficient fingerprint map construction methods were proposed in literature during the past few years. For example in [46], human motions are exploited to connect radio fingerprints. GIFT builds a Gmap by adding an additional layer above the absolute value-based fingerprint map therefore is compatible with legacy fingerprint map construction approaches. In addition, due to the stability of the Gmap, cost of the periodical fingerprint map calibration can be significantly reduced. Without loss of generality, we adopt the basic site surveying to illustrate the construction of Gmap.

Denoting the two-dimensional indoor space by \mathbb{G} , we first discretize the area of interest, G, into grids and create paths which are composed of a series of consecutive grids based on the floor plan (the bottom layer in Figure 5). For ease of presentation, we consider four basic walking directions (e.g., up, down, left, right) with square grids. For a complex floor plan, hexagonal/octagonal grids can be leveraged to adaptive to non-vertical paths. In order to minimize the small-scale variations of RSSI caused by slightly movement of the user (order of wavelength, i.e., 12.5cm and 6cm in the 2.4GHz and 5GHz WiFi frequencies, respectively), we set the grid length to 2m, in accordance with the general performance of fingerprinting-based localization methods. Since the widths of most aisles in typical indoor environments are less than 3m, one grid suffices. For rooms and wider hallways, multiple parallel and crossing paths are considered.

During site surveying, the surveyor scans the ambient WiFi signal n_g times at grid $g \in \mathbb{G}$ on a path and collects the signal strength from k_g access points. The corresponding measurement matrix $F_g \in \mathbb{R}^{k_g \times n_g}$ is then used to build the absolute value-based fingerprint map F (the middle layer in Figure 5).



Fig. 5. Illustration of Gmap construction (with one AP)

B. t-test and Gmap construction

The basic idea of generating Gmap is comparison of RSSI values at neighboring locations and replacing the original absolute RSSI values with the corresponding gradients. To determine the difference between fingerprint values at different locations, we borrow the idea from the significance testing in statistics and adopt *t*-test.

A *t*-test is a statistical hypothesis test which determines whether the null hypothesis (no difference between sample values) is to be rejected or accepted. Compared to other statistical hypothesis tests like *z*-test, *t*-test is more adaptable and is preferred for limited sample size with unknown standard deviation. Since the RSSI measurements from a specific AP follow a Gaussian distribution (as shown in Section III-C and reported in literature [26]), and n_g is not large enough in the training phase, *t*-test is a good means to compare two sets of fingerprint values so as to generate the gradient-based fingerprint map.

Let F_g^j and $F_{g'}^j$ denote two sets of RSSI samples from AP jin the absolute value-based fingerprint map. Specifically, $F_g^j = \{s_1^g, \ldots, s_{n_g}^g\}$ and $F_{g'}^j = \{s_1^{g'}, \ldots, s_{n_{g'}}^{g'}\}$ are signal strength vectors collected at adjacent grids g and g', respectively. The mean of F_g^j and $F_{g'}^j$ are denoted by $\mu_1 = F_g^j$ and $\mu_2 = F_{g'}^j$, respectively, and we test the following two-sided hypothesis

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2.$$
(1)

The *t* statistic can be calculated as

$$\mu^{j} = \frac{\mu_{1} - \mu_{2}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{S}_{\mu_{1}}(F_{g}^{j}, n_{g}) + \mathbf{S}_{\mu_{2}}(F_{g'}^{j}, n_{g'})}},$$
(2)

where $\mathbf{S}_{\mu_1}(F_g^j, n_g) = S_{F_g^j}^2/n_g$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mu_2}(F_{g'}^j, n_{g'}) = S_{F_{g'}}^2/n_{g'}$. $S_{F_g^j}^2 = \frac{1}{n_g - 1} \sum (F_g^j - \mu_1)^2$ and $S_{F_{g'}}^2 = \frac{1}{n_{g'} - 1} \sum (F_{g'}^j - \mu_2)^2$ are the unbiased estimators of the variance of the two sets of RSSI samples.

To test the significance, we set the significance level $\alpha = 0.1$ and the distribution of the test statistic can be approximated as an ordinary *t* distribution with the Degrees-of-Freedom (DoFs)

$$d.f. = \frac{(\mathbf{S}_{\mu_1}(F_g^j, n_g) + \mathbf{S}_{\mu_2}(F_{g'}^j, n_{g'}))^2}{\frac{(\mathbf{S}_{\mu_1}(F_g^j, n_g))^2}{n_g - 1} + \frac{(\mathbf{S}_{\mu_2}(F_{g'}^j, n_{g'}))^2}{n_{g'} - 1}}.$$
(3)

Therefore, we can compute the cumulative distribution for t distribution with d.f. DoFs at the values in t^j . A cumulative density which is greater than $1 - \alpha$ indicates that the null hypotheses H_0 can be rejected with confidence (i.e., $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$), and accepted (i.e., $\mu_1 = \mu_2$) otherwise. Note that although the calculated cumulative density varies with different α , subtle variations on the test results are observed when $0.05 \leq \alpha \leq 0.15$. In GIFT, these variations can be efficiently alleviated through the extended particle filter due to its probabilistic nature.

On the basis of significance test, we compute the binary gradient of AP j between location g and g' as

$$b^{j}(g,g') = \begin{cases} 1, & H_{0} \text{ is accepted}, \\ 2, & H_{0} \text{ is rejected and } \mu_{1} \ge \mu_{2}, \\ 0, & H_{0} \text{ is rejected and } \mu_{1} < \mu_{2}, \end{cases}$$
(4)

 $b^{j}(g,g')$ in Equation 4 characterizes the RSSI changes between neighboring grids and it is easy to prove b(g,g') + b(g',g) = 2 (e.g., if b(g,g') = 0, then b(g',g) = 2). The gradient-based fingerprint value $Gmap_{g}$ at position gcan be represented as a $k_{g} \times 2$ matrix which stores the binary gradient values $b^{j}(g,g')$ of k_{g} different APs. Since b(g,g') + b(g',g) = 2, we only consider grid g' which is located either right above or to the left of grid g and included the corresponding two gradient values in $Gmap_{g}$ (i.e., the two columns) to reduce the size of the map. Finally, Gmap is obtained as a union of $Gmap_g$ as

$$Gmap = \bigcup_{g} Gmap_{g}, g \in \mathbb{G}.$$
 (5)

A Gmap captures gradient values of RSSI from one specific AP is shown in Figure 5. Particularly, if the grid right above or on top of g is not on walking paths, we set the corresponding gradient value to 3.

VI. GIFT ENGINE

The GIFT engine consists of a motion detection module and an extended particle filter.

A. Motion detection

This module recognizes user mobility pattern and steps using sensor data from the IMU, i.e., accelerometers, gyroscopes and compass. In GIFT, we assume the user is holding the smartphone steady in his hand when requesting his location, which is a likely indoor localization scenario.

User mobility pattern recognition, serving as an input of the motion model in the extended particle filter, detects whether the user is *standing still*, *walking on level surfaces* or *walking up/down stairs*. For motion detection, we first divide accelerometer data into several segments, then extract features from three-axes accelerometer readings contained within each segment and adopt a decision tree-based classification algorithm. Specifically, the algorithm firstly decides whether the user is moving. If so, it then determines if the user is walking on level surfaces or walking up/down stairs. After that, different parameters (e.g., thresholds) are used to help extract steps.

The duration of each segment is set to 3s, enough to capture multiple steps involved in activities. Features we use include the average acceleration, standard deviation of acceleration and the average absolute difference between each reading and the average value. An example of accelerometer's Z-axis readings is shown in Figure 6(a) where we can easily differentiate the output of *walking on level surfaces* from *walking up stairs*. Specifically, the standard deviation and the average absolute difference of walking up stairs is almost 3x higher than the output during level walking (3.39, 3.06 vs. 1.21, 0.96).



Fig. 6. Accelerometer readings during walking

If the user is standing still, GIFT holds the current location estimation, otherwise it will be continuously running the step detection algorithm based on the accelerometer readings. Previously-developed algorithms can reliably detect user steps [27], [28]. GIFT borrows these algorithms with a few minor adjustments. First, in the step detection algorithm, a low pass FIR digital filter is used to remove the high frequency noise and spikes in raw acceleration readings. Since the step frequency in indoor environments mostly ranges from 1Hz (slow walk) to 3Hz (walking briskly or running) [25], we set the cut-off frequency of the filter to 3Hz. After pre-processing, a peak detection algorithm is applied on the filtered signal to identify steps.

Four thresholds are used in the algorithm to detect the peak and valley. Up_{min} and $Down_{min}$ are used to remove the interference of small peaks and valleys caused by a slight sways of the phone. Similarly, Up_{max} and $Down_{max}$ are used to remove the interference of heavy bouncing of the phone. Here, we use the same value used in [34] to set the threshold. Once the duration of one candidate step is smaller than 0.3s or larger than 1s, we consider it as a false step. Figure 6(b) shows an example of filtered accelerometer readings with automatically detected peaks. Putting all these components together, our step detection algorithm achieves a 3% false positive rate and 2% false negative rate.

B. Extended particle filter

All of the motion detection results are combined into an extended particle filter (EPF) to estimate the user's location. Particle filtering, also known as Monte Carlo methods, is commonly used in tracking-based localization systems [14], [27], [31], [35]. In this paper, particles are uniformly driven by the fused direction sensing results and weights of particles are updated during the movement based on the comparison results between WiFi RSSI observations and Gmap. User location is simultaneously estimated via a probability distribution of particles with different weights. In what follows, we describe the details of particle initialization, movement, weight update and importance sampling.

1) Particle initialization and the motion model: In EPF, the state of a particle \mathbf{p}_i is a 4-tuple consisting of its current location l_i , weight w_i and two stride length coefficients a_i and b_i . At the very beginning of the localization process with no *a prior* knowledge of the user's initial position, N particles are generated and uniformly distributed on all paths with an equal weight $w_i = \frac{1}{N}, i \in [1, N]$.

After initialization, all particles move once a step is detected by the motion detection module. In each movement, EPF first estimates the stride length of the user and then updates the location of each particle toward the moving direction. Although stride length varies with users and is affected by walking speed, a linear function of step frequency is adaptive to capture the variation [21]. In EPF, we define the stride length $sl_i = a_if + b_i$ where f is the step frequency obtained by the motion detection module and a_i , b_i are two coefficients that needed to be estimated. Each particle i in EPF is associated with a fixed pair of a, b (i.e., a_i, b_i). During initialization, values of a_i and b_i are set based on a generic stride length model where a_i and b_i are sampled from a Gaussian distribution with means of 2 and 4 and variance of 0.8 and 0.4, respectively. A non-zero variance is introduced here to capture the possible error of stride length estimation. Note that similar values of a_i and b_i can still be applied due to the randomness of particle moving distance. Via importance sampling (discussed in Section VI-B2), EPF eliminates those particles with improper stride length coefficients, and thus estimates location and stride length simultaneously as the user continues walking.

The moving direction of particles is primarily generated based on the absolute heading direction readings reported by the smartphone. It is often a fusion result from compass and gyroscope. In consideration of the magnetic interferers in indoor environments, we adopt the Iterative Magnetic Triangulation method [28] (assuming a single ambient interferer) to further correct the raw direction sensing results¹.

2) Weight update and importance sampling: As mentioned above, particles $i, i \in [1, N]$ move for sl_i toward the user's moving direction once a step is detected. At the same time, GIFT periodically scans ambient WiFi signals with a fixed frequency f_s . A sequence diagram of EPF is shown in Figure 7.



Fig. 7. Illustration of particle movement and comparison

Two series of actions can be found in Figure 7: user steps and WiFi scans. Unlike WiFi scanning, the frequency of steps changes with time. Similarly, in EPF, particle movement is triggered by steps while the gradient calculation, weight update and importance sampling are conducted on a per-scan basis.²

Gradient calculation: The EPF first performs RSSI comparison between two consecutive WiFi scans, based on which weights of particles are updated. Let R_g and $R_{g'}$ denote RSSI samples of two consecutive scans, then RSSI difference (dissimilarity) $d_{gg'}^j$ between two scan results is computed as $d_{gg'}^j = ||R_g^j - R_{g'}^j||_1$ where $|| \cdot ||_1$ is the Euclidean distance between two RSSI values and j is the AP included in both R_g and $R_{g'}$. The EPF then computes b_0^j , the binary gradient of AP j between R_g and $R_{g'}$ based on the calculated dissimilarity $d_{gg'}^j$. Specifically, if $d_{gg'}^j$ is greater than a pre-defined threshold δ , then the binary gradient $b_0^j = 2$, if $d_j \leq -\delta$, $b_0^j = 0$, else $b_0^j = 1$. δ is determined based on fingerprint samples collected at a given location. In order to avoid the smallscale RSSI variations, we set δ to 6 in GIFT based on the observation of Figure 3(a). Similar solutions have been adopted elsewhere [39], [46] as well.

Weight update and importance sampling: After obtaining the binary gradient values of all APs after each scan, EPF updates weights of particles based on their similarities between calculated gradient values and the pre-installed *Gmap*. Specifically, for particle \mathbf{p}_i located at position l_i , EPF first extracts $Gmap_{l_i}$ and compares it with the gradient value b_0 . Note that particles may be located at non-adjacent grids during two consecutive WiFi scans, and hence we replace $Gmap_{l_i}$ with the average value $\overline{Gmap_g}$ across the entire traveling grids \mathbf{g} of particles between two WiFi scans. Then, Euclidean distance is calculated between $Gmap_{l_i}$ and b_0 , and the weight of particle is set to $w_i = e^{-\frac{(\sum_j ||Gmap_{l_i}^j - b_0^j||_1)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ where j refers to the shared AP between $Gmap_{l_i}$ and b_0 and b_0 .

where j refers to the shared AP between $Gmap_{l_i}$ and b_0 , and σ is a parameter that reflects the overall disturbance intensity of WiFi gradient. In addition, when the particles are moving towards the walls according to the direction sensing result, their weights will be significantly reduced (but not eliminated). Finally, weight-based importance sampling of particles is conducted. Since the distribution of particles reflects the likelihood of the real location of the user, the estimated location is set to the weighted averaged point of all particles' positions using their own weights.

VII. EVALUATION

We have implemented GIFT on Android platforms and evaluated it in a five-story office building with a testing area of about $8000m^2$. Detailed evaluation settings and implementation are described in Section VII-A. Section VII-B presents experimental results of GIFT in environments with both relatively stable and dynamic WiFi signals. Localization performance of GIFT on multiple devices is presented in Section VII-C.

A. Implementation

- Settings: We implemented GIFT on the Android platform including a tablet and several smartphones. A screenshot of the GIFT application is shown in Figure 8. Users can adjust the zoom level to change the size of the indoor floor plan in the middle of the screen. GIFT offers several modes: the map construction mode to guide the user to collect values on each path on the map and the localization mode to test the system accuracy.
- Map construction mode: Before running GIFT, we first built the RSSI fingerprint map F as well as Gmap. Specifically, once the construction mode is chosen, paths are shown on the screen and the user can choose each path by clicking on it. During user walking, GIFT automatically collects RSSI data on each path. It records timestamps at both the beginning and end of a walk and maps the fingerprints onto the floor plan through a simple linear interpolation. Gmap is then generated following the procedures presented in Section V.
- Localization mode: In the localization mode, the mobile client performs continuous IMU sampling at 50Hz, WiFi scan at 1Hz, and updates the estimated location of the user through the online EPF. In our experiment, we set the number of particles to 2000. In order to obtain ground truth positions of the user, we set landmarks every other

¹The key intuition of IMT is to decompose the measured magnetic vector into the earth's magnetic vector (G) and the interference vector (I), and adjust the direction of G until all the I vectors intersect at the same point

²Particularly, if the user is standing still, EPF holds without weight update and importance sampling.



Fig. 8. A screenshot of GIFT application

meter along the path, and users tap on the screen to record timestamps when they pass by each landmark.

· Micro-benchmark: We use two classical fingerprintbased localization approaches, Radar [1] and Horus [48], and a particle filtering-based tracking method [31] as benchmarks in experiments. Radar [1] is an early fingerprinting system which uses a deterministic RSSI fingerprint for each location, whereas Horus [48] adopts a probabilistic RSSI map and a maximum likelihood based approach. Although new fingerprinting techniques have been proposed in recent years, they either focus on the pre-localization training process [46] or achieve similar (or slightly worse [5]) positioning accuracy to these two legacy methods. To further evaluate the performance of using gradient map, we also implemented a particle filtering-based tracking method [31], which takes the fingerprint map of absolute value of RSSI and floor plan as inputs. Step detection algorithm in GIFT is also leveraged for particle movement and weight updating. We collected more than 300 walking traces and ignore localization results in the first 3 seconds of each trace due to the time particles spend to converge. Location requests were randomly made in Radar and Horus during each walking trace.

B. Performance in Static and Dynamic Environments

We first conducted experiments in a static environment with customized deployment of early WiFi APs with fixed transmit power.

Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of localization error of all traces is shown in Figure 10(a). We can see that GIFT, Horus and WiFi RSSI-based particle filtering method achieve comparable performance in terms of localization error, outperforming the localization accuracy of Radar. For example, the 80 percentile accuracy of GIFT, WiFi particle filtering, Horus and Radar are 3.6m, 2.9m, 3.3m and 5.4m, respectively.

To further examine the accuracy and robustness of the four algorithms, we tested them in a dynamic environment with pre-deployed, ARM-enabled WiFi APs. To verify the RSSI variations in the dynamic environment, we collected RSSI of multiple APs at a fixed location for 4 hours, and aligned all measurements by removing the mean RSSI value of each AP during the monitoring period. The CDF of RSSI variations of all APs is shown in Figure 9 in which an RSSI difference



Fig. 9. RSSI variation in a dynamic environment

of as high as 9dB can be observed. In this experiment, we built Gmap in the morning and ran localization systems in the afternoon after one week.



Fig. 10. Localization performance

Compared to Figure 10(a), we find localization performance of all four systems degrades in Figure 10(b). This is owing to the fact of fluctuant transmit power of WiFi APs. However, GIFT is more reliable in the dynamic environment due to the gradient-based fingerprinting. For example, in Figure 10(b), the 80 percentile accuracy of Horus is 6.58m, which has a 99.4% performance degradation over that in the static environment. However for GIFT, the performance degradation of the 80 percentile accuracy is only 55.6% (5.6m vs. 3.6m).

C. Robustness among Different Devices and Users

To examine GIFT's robustness, we conducted experiments using heterogeneous devices and tested GIFT among multiple users with different heights that may have various stride lengths and walking speeds. All experiments were conducted in the static environment. The CDF of the localization error for four devices and three users are plotted in Figures 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. From both figures we can see that the CDF curves are very close, and they are consistent with the experimental results in Section VII-B. This demonstrates the robustness and practicality of GIFT. On the contrary, fingerprint-based localization approaches (e.g., Radar and Horus) yield a much worse accuracy while localizing a new mobile device that has never been used to generate the model. We refer [5], [22], [38] for detailed evaluation results.

We also found several practical issues and limitations of GIFT during evaluation. For example, as GIFT uses compute-intensive particle filter as the localization engine, the energy consumption of the system is relatively high. In our experiments, the runtime current of Samsung GT-N8000 reaches as high as 840 mA when the particle filter is running.



Fig. 11. Results from different devices and users

Therefore, it is worth investigating how to reduce the energy consumption while enjoying the benefits of using gradient maps.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose GIFT, an indoor localization system leveraging gradient-based fingerprint map. We first present key observations of indoor WiFi signals and then present the design of GIFT based on the robust gradient-based RSSI map. Gradient-based map is a sub-layer compatible with various wireless networks. Compared to legacy fingerprinting methods, GIFT is more adaptive to the time-variant indoor wireless signals and device heterogeneity, and therefore could effectively reduce the cost of maintenance of the fingerprint map. We implemented GIFT on off-the-shelf devices and extensively evaluated its performance in indoor environments with dynamic WiFi signals. Our experimental results confirm the high accuracy and robustness of GIFT.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was partially supported by 973 Program under grant 2015CB352503, NSFC under grant U1401253, 61473251, 61429301, and National Program for Special Support of Top Notch Young Professionals. The corresponding author of this paper is Jiming Chen.

REFERENCES

- P. Bahl and V. N. Padmanabhan. RADAR: An In-Building RF-Based User Location and Tracking System. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2000.
- [2] J. Chen, J. Li, and T. Lai. Trapping Mobile Targets in Wireless Sensor Networks: An Energy-Efficient Perspective. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 62(7):3287–3300, September 2013.
- [3] Y. Chen, D. Lymberopoulos, J. Liu, and B. Priyantha. FM-based indoor localization. In ACM MobiSys, 2012.
- [4] X. Cheng, A. Thaeler, G. Xue, and D. Chen. TPS: a time-based positioning scheme for outdoor wireless sensor networks. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2004.
- [5] K. Chintalapudi, A. P. Iyer, and V. N. Padmanabhan. Indoor Localization without the Pain. In ACM MobiCom, 2010.
- [6] K. H. Choi, W.-S. Ra, S.-Y. Park, and J. B. Park. Robust Least Squares Approach to Passive Target Localization Using Ultrasonic Receiver Array. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 61(4):1993–2002, April 2014.
- [7] J. Chung, M. Donahoe, C. Schmandt, I.-J. Kim, P. Razavai, and M. Wiseman. Indoor Location Sensing Using Geo-magnetism. In ACM MobiSys, 2011.
- [8] I. Constandache, R. R. Choudhury, and I. Rhee. Towards Mobile Phone Localization without War-Driving. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2010.
- [9] I. Constandache, S. Gaonkar, M. Sayler, R. Choudhury, and L. Cox. EnLoc: Energy-Efficient Localization for Mobile Phones. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2009.

- [10] F. Dong, Y. Chen, J. Liu, Q. Ning, and S. Piao. A Calibrationfree Localization Solution for Handling Signal Strength Variance. In *International Conference on Mobile Entity Localization and Tracking in GPS-less Environments*, 2009.
- [11] A. Eleryan, M. Elsabagh, and M. Youssef. Synthetic Generation of Radio Maps for Device-Free Passive Localization. In *IEEE Globecom*, 2011.
- [12] A. Goswami, L. E. Ortiz, and S. R. Das. WiGEM : A Learning-Based Approach for Indoor Localization. In ACM CoNEXT, 2011.
- [13] A. Haeberlen, E. Flannery, A. Ladd, A. Rudys, D. Wallach, and L. Kavraki. Practical Robust Localization over Large-scale 802.11 Wireless Networks. In ACM MobiCom, 2004.
- [14] B. F. D. Hähnel and D. Fox. Gaussian Processes for Signal Strength-Based Location Estimation. In *Robotics: Science and Systems Conference*, 2006.
- [15] A. N. Inc. Aruba 220 Series Access Points. http://www.arubanetworks. com/products/access-points/aruba-220-series-access-points.
- [16] C. S. Inc. Cisco Aironet 1240AG Series Access Points. http://www. cisco.com/c/en/us/products/wireless/aironet-700w-series/index.html.
- [17] N. Inc. Adaptive Radio Management. http://kb.netgear.com/ci/fattach/ get/16/1236074685/redirect/1/filename/AdaptiveRadioManagement.pdf.
- [18] S. J. Kim and B. K. Kim. Dynamic Ultrasonic Hybrid Localization System for Indoor Mobile Robots. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 60(10):4562–4573, Oct 2013.
- [19] M. B. Kjæ rgaard. Indoor Location Fingerprinting with Heterogeneous Clients. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 7(1):31–43, Feb. 2011.
- [20] B. Li, T. Gallagher, A. G. Dempster, and C. Rizos. How Feasible is the Use of Magnetic Field Alone for Indoor Positioning? In *IPIN*, 2012.
- [21] F. Li, C. Zhao, G. Ding, J. Gong, C. Liu, and F. Zhao. A Reliable and Accurate Indoor Localization Method Using Phone Inertial Sensors. In ACM UbiComp, 2012.
- [22] L. Li, G. Shen, C. Zhao, T. Moscibroda, J. Lin, and F. Zhao. Experiencing and handling the diversity in data density and environmental locality in an indoor positioning service. In ACM MobiCom, 2014.
- [23] K. Lin, A. Kansal, D. Lymberopoulos, and F. Zhao. Energy-accuracy Trade-off for Continuous Mobile Device Location. In ACM MobiSys, 2010.
- [24] K. Liu, X. Liu, and X. Li. Guoguo: Enabling Fine-grained Indoor Localization via Smartphone. In ACM MobiSys, 2013.
- [25] A. Pachi and T. Ji. Frequency and Velocity of People Walking. *Structural Engineer*, 83(3), 2005.
- [26] J.-g. Park, D. Curtis, S. Teller, and J. Ledlie. Implications of Device Diversity for Organic Localization. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2011.
- [27] A. Rai, K. K. Chintalapudi, V. N. Padmanabhan, and R. Sen. Zee: Zero-effort Crowdsourcing for Indoor Localization. In ACM MobiCom, 2012.
- [28] N. Roy, H. Wang, and R. Roy Choudhury. I Am a Smartphone and I Can Tell My User's Walking Direction. In ACM Mobisys, 2014.
- [29] S. Saad and Z. Nakad. A Standalone RFID Indoor Positioning System Using Passive Tags. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 58(5):1961–1970, May 2011.
- [30] G. Shen, Z. Chen, P. Zhang, T. Moscibroda, and Y. Zhang. Walkie-Markie: Indoor Pathway Mapping Made Easy. In USENIX NSDI, 2013.
- [31] Y. Shu, C. Bo, G. Shen, C. Zhao, L. Li, and F. Zhao. Magicol: Indoor Localization Using Pervasive Magnetic Field and Opportunistic WiFi Sensing. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 33(7):1443–1457, July 2015.
- [32] Y. Shu, P. Coue, Y. Huang, J. Zhang, P. Cheng, and J. Chen. Demo: G-Loc: Indoor Localization Leveraging Gradient-based Fingerprint Map. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, pages 129–130, April 2014.
- [33] Y. Shu, K. G. Shin, T. He, and J. Chen. Last Mile Navigation Using Smartphones. In ACM MobiCom, 2015.
- [34] M. Susi, V. Renaudin, and G. Lachapelle. Motion Mode Recognition and Step Detection Algorithms for Mobile Phone Users. *Sensors*, 13(2):1539–1562, 2013.
- [35] I. Vallivaara, J. Haverinen, A. Kemppainen, and J. Roning. Magnetic Field-based SLAM Method for Solving the Localization Problem in Mobile Robot Floor-Cleaning Task. In *ICAR*, 2011.
- [36] A. Varshavsky, E. de Lara, J. Hightower, A. LaMarca, and V. Otsason. GSM Indoor Localization. *Perv. Mob. Comput.*, 3(6):698–720, 2007.
- [37] B. Wang, S. Zhou, W. Liu, and Y. Mo. Indoor Localization Based on Curve Fitting and Location Search Using Received Signal Strength. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 62(1):572–582, Jan 2015.
- [38] C.-H. Wang, T.-W. Kao, S.-H. Fang, Y. Tsao, L.-C. Kuo, K. Shih-Wei, and N.-C. Lin. Robust Wi-Fi Location Fingerprinting against Device Diversity Based on Spatial Mean Normalization. In *APSIPA*, 2013.

- [39] H. Wang, S. Sen, A. Elgohary, M. Farid, M. Youssef, and R. R. Choudhury. No Need to War-Drive: Unsupervised Indoor Localization. In ACM MobiSys, 2012.
- [40] J. Wang, Q. Gao, Y. Yu, P. Cheng, L. Wu, and H. Wang. Robust Device-Free Wireless Localization Based on Differential RSS Measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 60(12):5943–5952, Dec 2013.
- [41] J. Wang, Q. Gao, Y. Yu, H. Wang, and M. Jin. Toward Robust Indoor Localization Based on Bayesian Filter Using Chirp-Spread-Spectrum Ranging. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 59(3):1622– 1629, March 2012.
- [42] O. Woodman and R. Harle. Pedestrian Localization for Indoor Environments. In ACM UbiComp, 2008.
- [43] K. Wu, J. Xiao, Y. Yi, M. Gao, and L. M. Ni. FILA: Fine-grained Indoor Localization. In *IEEE INFOCOM*, 2012.
- [44] P. Yang and W. Wu. Efficient Particle Filter Localization Algorithm in Dense Passive RFID Tag Environment. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 61(10):5641–5651, Oct 2014.
- [45] P. Yang, W. Wu, M. Moniri, and C. Chibelushi. Efficient Object Localization Using Sparsely Distributed Passive RFID Tags. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 60(12):5914–5924, Dec 2013.
- [46] Z. Yang, C. Wu, and Y. Liu. Locating in Fingerprint Space: Wireless Indoor Localization with Little Human Intervention. In ACM MobiCom, 2012.
- [47] S. Yoon, K. Lee, and I. Rhee. FM-based Indoor Localization via Automatic Fingerprint DB Construction and Matching. In ACM MobiSys, 2013.
- [48] M. Youssef and A. K. Agrawala. The Horus WLAN Location Determination System. In ACM MobiSys, 2005.



Philippe Coué received the Master degree in Telecommunication from Insa Lyon engineering school in 2013. He is currently a data intelligence consultant working all over Europe (Paris, Budapest and Copenhagen) on global transformation of international telecom operator information systems. He was a joint student in the Department of Control at Zhejiang University in 2013. He is the recipient of the INFOCOM'14 Best Demo Award. His research interests include mobile computing, wireless sensor network and big data analysis.



Peng Cheng (M'10) received the B.E. degree in Automation, and the Ph.D. degree in Control Science and Engineering in 2004 and 2009 respectively, both from Zhejiang University, China. Currently he is an associate professor with the Department of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University. He serves as Associate Editor for Wireless Networks, International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks. He is also the guest editor for IEEE TCNS. He served as publicity Co-Chair for IEEE MASS 2013 and Local Arrangement

Chair for ACM MobiHoc 2015. His research interests include networked sensing and control, cyber-physical systems, and robust control.



Yuanchao Shu (S'12-M'15) received the Ph.D. degree from Zhejiang University in 2015. He is currently an Associate Researcher at Microsoft Research Asia. From 2013 to 2015, he was a joint Ph.D. student in Computer Science at University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. He is the author and co-author of over 10 papers in premier journals and conferences, including IEEE JSAC, IEEE TMC, IEEE TPDS, ACM MobiCom, IEEE INFOCOM, and is the recipient of the IBM PhD Fellowship and the INFOCOM'14 Best Demo Award. His research

interests include cyber-physical systems, mobile computing, wireless sensor network and big data analysis. He is a member of ACM and IEEE.



Jiming Chen (M'08-SM'11) received B.Sc degree and Ph.D degree both in Control Science and Engineering from Zhejiang University in 2000 and 2005, respectively. He was a visiting researcher at INRIA in 2006, National University of Singapore in 2007, and University of Waterloo from 2008 to 2010. Currently, he is a full professor with Department of Control Science and Engineering, and vice director of the State Key laboratory of Industrial Control Technology and Institute of Industrial Process Control at Zhejiang University,

China. He currently serves associate editors for several international Journals including IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed System, IEEE Network, IEEE Transactions on Control of Network Systems, *etc.* He was a guest editor of IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, *etc.* His research interests include sensor networks, networked control.



Yinghua Huang received the B.E degree in automation from Shanghai jiaotong university, Shanghai China in 2012 and the M.S.degree in control science and engineering from Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, in 2015. He is currently a working staff of Huawei. His research interests include mobile social networks, delay-tolerant networks and mobile computing.

Jiaqi Zhang received the bachelor degree from

Zhejiang University in 2013. He is currently a

master student with the Department of Control

Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University. His

research interests include mobile computing and

sensor network.

Kang G. Shin (L'F'12) is the Kevin & Nancy O'Connor Professor of Computer Science in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. His current research focuses on QoS-sensitive computing and networking as well as on embedded realtime and cyber-physical systems. He has supervised the completion of 75 PhDs, and authored/coauthored more than 830 technical articles, a textbook and more than 30 patents or invention disclosures, and received numerous best paper awards, including the

Best Paper Awards from the 2011 ACM MobiCom, the 2011 IEEE ICAC, the 2010 and 2000 USENIX ATC, as well as the 2003 IEEE Communications Society William R. Bennett Prize Paper Award and the 1987 Outstanding IEEE Transactions of Automatic Control Paper Award. He has also received several institutional awards, including the Research Excellence Award in 1989, Outstanding Achievement Award in 1999, Distinguished Faculty Achievement Award in 2001, and Stephen Attwood Award in 2004 from The University of Michigan (the highest honor bestowed to Michigan Engineering faculty); a Distinguished Alumni Award of the College of Engineering, Seoul National University in 2002; 2003 IEEE RTC Technical Achievement Award; and 2006 Ho-Am Prize in Engineering (the highest honor bestowed to Korean-origin engineers). He was a co-founder of a couple of startups and also licensed some of his technologies to industry.